

TABLE 2. Experimental Values of the Specific Volume and Compressibility of Helium

$t, ^\circ\text{C}$	$p, \text{kg}/\text{cm}^2$	$v, \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg}$	$\epsilon$	$\epsilon [14]$	$\delta, \%$
100,01 99,98	206,651	41,1085	1,07477	1,07333	+0,13
	413,297	21,9342	1,14682	1,14420	+0,20
200,03 200,00	206,653	51,2919	1,05750	1,05596	+0,15
	413,296	26,9818	1,11267	1,11060	+0,19
300,03 300,03 300,03 300,01	100,006	124,456	1,02268	1,02174	+0,09
	199,994	63,4055	1,04446	1,04330	+0,11
	300,002	43,1387	1,06595	1,06468	+0,12
	399,970	33,0170	1,08773	1,08581	+0,18
400,00 400,00 400,00 400,00	100,007	145,261	1,01884	1,01793	+0,09
	199,998	73,9411	1,03713	1,03572	+0,14
	299,999	50,1128	1,05437	1,05344	+0,09
	400,000	38,2032	1,07173	1,07102	+0,07
500,03 500,03 500,02 500,00	99,9953	166,317	1,01548	1,01513	+0,03
	200,007	84,4564	1,03141	1,03017	+0,12
	299,998	57,0928	1,04583	1,04516	+0,06
	400,012	43,4338	1,06089	1,06003	+0,08

For the investigation we used helium of high purity containing 99.9972% helium and the following impurities:  $\text{H}_2 - 0.0001\%$ ,  $\text{N}_2 - 0.0011\%$ ,  $\text{O}_2 - 0.0003\%$ , hydrocarbons — 0.0001%,  $\text{Ne} - 0.0012\%$ , slight traces of water (dew point —60°C).

Table 1 compares our experimental data with the results of [3, 5]. We see from the table that the deviation in  $\delta$  is no greater than 0.15%, except for a single point.

The experimental values of the specific volume and compressibility of the helium obtained in the present investigation are shown in Table 2. In the same table we compare the experimental values of the helium compressibility with the values calculated from the equation taken from [14] and recommended up to pressures of  $p = 200 \text{ kg}/\text{cm}^2$ . We see from the comparison that even at pressures of up to 400  $\text{kg}/\text{cm}^2$  the difference never exceeds the value of 0.2% given in [14].

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. L. Holborn and J. Otto, *Z. Phys.*, 33, 1 (1925).
2. C. Gibby, C. Tanner, and E. Masson, *Proc. Roy. Soc.*, 122, 283 (1929).
3. R. Wiebe, V. Gaddy, and C. Heins, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 53, 1721 (1931).
4. W. Keesom and J. Van Santen, *Amsterdam Proceedings, Section of Sciences*, 36, 813 (1933).
5. A. Michels and U. Wouters, *Physica*, 8, 923 (1941).
6. W. Schneider, *Canad. J. Research*, 27, 4 (1949).
7. W. Schneider and Duffie, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 641 (1950).
8. J. Yntema and W. Schneider, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 641 (1950).
9. V. N. Popov and V. I. Chernyshov, Reports of the Scientific-Technical Conference on the Current State of Scientific Research for 1966–1967 [in Russian], Izd. MEI, Moscow (1967), p. 81.
10. V. N. Popov and V. I. Chernyshov, *Énergetika i Transport*, No. 4, 148 (1968).
11. M. P. Vukalovich and V. V. Altunin, *Thermophysical Properties of Uranium Dioxide* [in Russian], Atomizdat, Moscow (1965).
12. E. E. Totskii, *Teplofiz. Vys. Temp.*, 2, 205 (1964).
13. Handbook on the Properties of Steels Used in Turbine Boiler Construction [in Russian], No. 32, Mashgiz, Moscow (1958).
14. N. V. Tsederberg, V. N. Popov, and N. A. Morozova, *Thermophysical Properties of Helium* [in Russian], Gosenergoizdat, Moscow (1961).